



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVI	ENTOR AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/542,048	03/31/2000	Christopher Britton	Gould	RP9-99-086	4049	
25299	7590 02/0	/2004		EXAMINER		
IBM COR	PORATION		STULBERGER, CAS P			
PO BOX 12	195 A, BLDG 002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	I TRIANGLE PAR		2132	5		
				DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PRY				
s)				
ΓAL.				
nce address				
ered timely. of this communication. 133).				
s to the merits is				
3.				

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/542,048	GOULD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· .	Cas Stulberger	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
, <del>_</del>		secution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)						
since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.						
37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific						
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	. 6)  Other: .					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Office Ad	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 5				

Application/Control Number: 09/542,048

Art Unit: 2132

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,202,151 B1 to Musgrave et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,167,517 to Gilchrist.

In regards to claim 1, 6-8, and 13, Musgrave discloses a biometric certificate which may be generated by concatenating transaction data, a public key, and the set of data, including the biometric data (Musgrave: column 4, lines 53-55). This meets the limitation of "capturing biometric information of a user; encrypting using server public key." The authenticating certificate is then hashed to generate a hashed value. The hashed value is then sent to a registration authority having a biometric certificate generated where the hashed value is then signed; that is, encrypted, using the private key of the user to generate a digital signature incorporating the biometric data. The digital signature is then appended to the transaction data (Musgrave: Column 5, lines 15-35). This meets the limitation of "signing the biometric information with a client private key." After receiving the electronic transaction from the network a receiver decrypts the transaction using it's private key, de-hashes the decrypted transaction and extracts the biometric certificate. The receiver then sends the biometric certificate to the biometric certificate management system (BCMS) for authentication

Application/Control Number: 09/542,048

Art Unit: 2132

(Musgrave: column 5, lines 36-47). This meets the limitation of "sending the encrypted and signed data to a secure server in the network; accepting and verifying credentials associated with the signed and encrypted data from the server utilizing the public key from the central server." However Musgrave does not disclose "installing the credentials into the computer if the credentials are verified."

Gilchrist discloses the biometric template is stored locally on the client system and discloses adding new templates to the system (Gilchrist: column 1, lines 51-65). This meets the limitation of "installing the credentials into the computer if the credentials are verified."

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the method of authentication using a biometric authenticating certificate as disclosed by Musgrave with the method of storing the biometric template locally as disclosed by Gilchrist in order to guard against a malicious user who substitutes another template to gain unauthorized access to the host system (Gilchrist: abstract).

In regards to claim 2 and 9, Musgrave discloses the biometric information comprises fingerprint information (Musgrave: column 4, lines 30-32)

In regards to claim 3 and 10, Musgrave discloses the biometric information comprises retinal information (Musgrave: column 4, lines 30-32)

In regards to claim 4 and 11, Musgrave discloses the biometric information comprises voice information (Musgrave: column 2, lines 47-58)

Application/Control Number: 09/542,048

Art Unit: 2132

Page 4

In regards to claim 5 and 12, Musgrave discloses the biometric information comprises

handwritting information (Musgrave: column 4, lines 30-32)

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

U.S. Patent No 6,193,153 B1 to Lambert

U.S. Patent No. 6,076,167 to Borza.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cas Stulberger whose telephone number is (703) 305-8034. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00A.M. - 5:00P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

CS,

CS

CH RERTO BARRON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100